

Meeting minutes	
Meeting	Product Design Group: Flexibility
Date	10.02.2023
Location	MS Teams

## List of participants

**Sytem Operators** 

Sytem Operators				
Name	First name	Company		
Benzennou	Daphné	Sibelga		
Blontrock	Bruno	Synergrid		
De Lathouwer	Jonathan	Sibelga		
Dessart	Delphine	Resa		
Dewever	Philippe	Fluvius		
Glorieux	Jacques	Synergrid		
Lepair	Aurélie	Ores		
Macé	Odile	Sibelga		
Milis	Kevin	Synergrid		
Motté	Arno	Elia		
Paque	Michel	Resa		
Tomme	Thomas	Fluvius		
Van Cleemput	Frederik	Fluvius		
Van den Bosch	Sven	Fluvius		
Van Haute	Jens	Fluvius		
Vandenbroucke	Hans	Elia		
Vercruyssen	Luc	Synergrid		
Yildirim	Recep	Fluvius		
Zeebroek	Guido	Fluvius		

## **Market Actors**

Name	First name	Company
Beyne	Joris	Eneco
Brouyaux	Louis	Thermovault
Celis	Chris	Ode
Coppin	Xavier	Engie
de Chalain	Gonzague	TotalEnergies
Deblocq	Vincent	Febeg
Demeyer	Valentijn	Scholt Energy
Devos	Patrick	Flux50
Gelpi	Cédric	Engie
Gheuens	Raf	Eneco
Hubin	Maurine	TotalEnergies

Meeting minutes PDG Flex 10022023 final draft

Jong	Dieter	Realto
Laleman	Ruben	Engie
Mouton	Victor	Accenture
Peeters	Stef	Centrica
Robeys	Pol	Accenture
Somers	Wim	Ecopower
Stinissen	William	Volta
Strosse	Tom	Eneco
Van Bossuyt	Michael	Febeliec
Vandendriessche	Wout	Engie
Verbiese	Michael	Atrias
Verhegge	Karen	Luminus

Regu	lators
Kegu	iators

Name	First name	Regulator
Haaker	Nik	Brugel
Lambrechts	Ivan	VREG
Marchand	Stéphane	CWaPE
Michiels	Marc	VREG

## 1 Fast Track aFRR on LV

The Fast Track aFRR on LV is presented to the meeting participants using the slide deck available on the Synergrid website<sup>1</sup>.

In response to the Febeliec question if the scope and timing of the presented Fast Track aFRR on LV are the same for all regions and DSO's, the DSO's respond that is indeed the goal that the rollout will be the same for all DSO's. In addition, Febeliec also asks if it would be possible to elaborate on the meaning and reasoning behind the noted "Best Effort on Pool modificitation". The DSO's elaborate that it is currently unclear what the market interest in the Fast Track aFRR on LV will be, and hence that the various concerned teams at the DSO'shave not been enlarged. The processing time for pool modifications is currently unknown, as this will be dependent on the size and the moment of the inflow. Because of this uncertainty and the fact DSOs don't want to endanger other SLAs, it is unfeasible to have any kind of SLA for this process within the framework of the Fast Track aFRR on LV. Should the market appetite for the market be big, a gradual ramp up in team capacity is possible. A further clarification is that while the timing "best effort" is open ended, it is the ambition to do these pool modifications in as reasonable a time as possible.

Both Centrica and Engie wonder when the consultation process will start. The SO's clarify that the consultation process will start as soon as possible, but discussion on the specifications of the consultation are still ongoing with the regulators. The foreseen timeframe for the start of the consultation is a matter of days or weeks at worst, not months.

Re.alto asks why there is a seemingly arbitrary lower bound of 1kV for participating points. The SO's clarify in response that this limitation is currently documented in the aFRR-product for MV. This condition will be lifted through the Terms of Reference (ToR) for aFRR on LV.

Febeliec mentions that market parties need to have clarity on the timing of the roll-out as soon as possible, so that they can start their internal onboarding processes. In response, the SO's point out that it is their ambition to roll out as soon as possible, but that this start of rollout is also heavily dependent on the length of the consultation and the nature of the feedback on this consultation. Furthermore, the SO's agree with Febeliec on the need for transparency, and point out that the current PDG is just the first step in a line of actions to create awareness and inform the market parties.

Centrica points out that the current metering specifications as set out in the Fast Track aFRR are quite stringent and will most likely hamper participation of market points, and would therefore like to know the reasoning behind it. The SO's

.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Workshop of 10/02 on <a href="https://www.synergrid.be/nl/marktoverleg/pdg-flexibiliteit">https://www.synergrid.be/fr/concertation-du-marche/pdg-flexibiliteit</a> or <a href="https://www.synergrid.be/fr/concertation-du-marche/pdg-flexibilite">https://www.synergrid.be/fr/concertation-du-marche/pdg-flexibiliteit</a> or

state that the choice was made to reuse existing specifications for MV, as they were agreed and accepted in prior consultations. SO's are aware that this might have a limiting effect on overall participation. The SO's invite Centrica to raise this point during the public consultation.

The SO's end the meeting with expressing their hope to continue working, together with all interested market parties, towards a successful rollout of the Fast Track aFRR on LV, and look forward to interacting with all market parties throughout the PDG and consultation processes.