



Meeting minutes	
Meeting	Product Design Group Flexibility
Date	17.10.2023
Location	MS Teams

List of participants

Market Actors

Name	First name	Company
de Munck	Casper	Northpool
Beyne	Joris	Eneco
Celis	Cris	ODE
Clymans	Frans	Movanis
Deblocq	Vincent	Febeg
Demeyer	Valentijn	Scholt Energy
Devos	Patrick	Flux50
Dierckxsens	Carlos	Next-kraftwerke
Gheuens	Raf	Eneco
Healey	Robin	British Gas
Jong	Dieter	Realto
Laleman	Ruben	Engie
Lefebvre	Yves	Centrica
Peeters	Stef	Centrica
Robeys	Pol	Accenture
Soroka	Bohdan	Engie
Stinissen	William	Volta
Van Bossuyt	Michael	Febeliec
Van Den Broecke	Emma	Accenture
Van Engeland	Sam	Luminus
Verhegge	Karen	Luminus

Regulators

Name	First name	Regulator
Fodil-Pacha	Farid	BRUGEL
Haaker	Nick	BRUGEL

Marchand	Stéphane	CWaPE
Michiels	Marc	VREG

System Operators

Name	First name	Company
Benzennou	Daphné	Sibelga
De Lathouwer	Jonathan	Sibelga
De Schouwer	Stefan	Atrias
Dekens	Ellen	Fuvius
Dessart	Delphine	Resa
Deweever	Philippe	Fluvius
Léonard	Cédric	Ores
Lepair	Aurélie	Ores
Macé	Odile	Siblega
Milis	Kevin	Synergrid
Motté	Arno	Elia
Tomme	Thomas	FLuvius
Van den Bosch	Sven	Fluvius
Yildirim	Recep	Fluvius

The PDG is supported by a slide deck. This slide deck, as well as the recording of the PDG are available on the Synergrid website¹

The purpose of this PDG is twofold: firstly, it serves to inform market actors as to the status of document release one, and secondly, it also informs market actors as to the scope and planning foreseen for document release 2 by the TSO and the DSO's. Both the status of document release 1 at the time of writing as well as the scope and planning for document release 2 are documented in the slide deck on the Synergrid website, as such, these meeting minutes only cover the discussion that took place during the PDG.

1. Scope of document release 2

Question 1: Luminus wants to know what is meant by “enhanced opt-out mechanism”, as this is an agreement between a supplier and a FSP, which does not directly involve the SO's.

It is explained that this pertains to the influence of the supply market on the flexibility market: if a supplier switch happens on a point for where there is an opt-out agreement is in place, there either needs to be a new opt-out agreement in place between the FSP and the supplier being switched to, or the flexibility contract needs to be stopped. Currently, these checks are a manual process on the side of the DSO's, and the purpose of this scope item is to automate this.

Question 2: Febeliec wants to know more details on how their concerns surrounding CDSO's will be handled, and how co-creation will be facilitated during document release two.

¹ Workshop of 17/10 on <https://www.synergrid.be/nl/marktoverleg/pdg-flexibiliteit> or <https://www.synergrid.be/fr/concertation-du-marche/pdg-flexibilite>

In general, more in depth information will be provided during the next PDG, scheduled for the 10th of October. During that PDG, as much detail as available will be shared with the market participants, so that they can give their feedback.

Furthermore, to tackle the specific case of the CDSO's, bilateral talks will be held with Febeliec based on draft versions of the documents, so that Febeliec can give their input regarding CDSO specific concerns.

Question 3: Next-kraftwerke points out that, where the metering requirements for aFRR are concerned, all voltage levels should be taken into account, and not only low voltage.

The answer here is two-pronged: first, market parties are informed that metering requirements will probably be defined by whether or not transfer of energy is applied, which means that at the time of writing, it is envisaged that the underlying principles would hold cross voltage levels, and perhaps even across products. Secondly, while out of scope for this meeting, Elia also mentions that they are in the process of reviewing the metering requirements for points connected directly to the Elia grid. More information on these metering requirements will be given during the next Elia CCMD workshop on 14/11.